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Independent auditors’ report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Members of the Arizona State Legislature

The Governing Board of
Maricopa County Community College District

We have audited, in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the business-type activities and discretely presented component unit of Maricopa County Community College District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2021, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated January 28, 2022. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of the Maricopa County Community College Foundation, the discretely presented component unit, as described in our report on the District’s financial statements. The Foundation’s financial statements were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, and accordingly, this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance associated with the Foundation.

Internal control over financial reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District’s basic financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.
Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies, and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. We did identify a certain deficiency in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2021-01, that we consider to be a significant deficiency.

**Compliance and other matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District’s basic financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

**District response to findings**

The District’s responses to the findings identified in our audit are presented in its corrective action plan at the end of this report. The District is responsible for preparing a corrective action plan to address each finding. The District’s responses and corrective action plan were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

**Purpose of this report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the District’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*Lindsey A. Perry*

Lindsey A. Perry, CPA, CFE
Auditor General

January 28, 2022
Financial statement findings

2021-01

The District’s control procedures over IT systems and data were not sufficient, which increases the risk that the District may not adequately protect those systems and data

Condition—The District’s control procedures for assigning and restricting access were not sufficiently implemented to consistently help prevent or detect unauthorized or inappropriate access to its IT systems and data.

Effect—There is an increased risk that the District may not adequately protect its IT systems and data, which could result in unauthorized or inappropriate access and/or the loss of confidentiality or integrity of systems and data.

Cause—The District had policies and procedures for assigning and restricting access to its systems and data but did not ensure employees followed and understood them. In addition, the District did not retain records to support all employees’ user access to its systems and data was appropriately assigned and restricted based on user needs as defined by its policies.

Criteria—Implementing effective internal controls that follow a credible industry source, such as the National Institute of Standards and Technology, help the District to protect its IT systems and ensure the integrity and accuracy of the data it maintains. Logical access controls help to ensure systems and data are accessed by users who have a need, systems and data access granted is appropriate, and key systems and data access is monitored and reviewed.

Recommendations—The District should:

1. Monitor employees’ adherence to its IT policies and procedures for assigning and restricting access to IT systems and data and provide training to employees to ensure the policies and procedures are understood and consistently followed.
2. Retain documentation to support each employee’s user access was properly assigned and reviewed by another employee.
3. Assign and periodically review employee user access, ensuring appropriateness and compatibility with job responsibilities.

The District’s corrective action plan at the end of this report includes the views and planned corrective action of its responsible officials. We are not required to audit and have not audited these responses and planned corrective actions and therefore provide no assurances as to their accuracy.

This finding is similar to prior-year finding 2020-03.
February 18, 2022

Lindsey Perry  
Auditor General  
2910 N. 44th St., Ste. 410  
Phoenix, AZ 85018

Dear Ms. Perry:

We have prepared the accompanying corrective action plan as required by the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards and by the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards. Specifically, for each finding we are providing you with our responsible officials' views, the names of the contact people responsible for corrective action, the corrective action planned, and the anticipated completion date.

Sincerely,

Dawn D. Rector, CPA, MBA  
Interim Associate Chief Financial Officer and Controller
Maricopa County Community College District
Corrective Action Plan
Year ended June 30, 2021

Financial statement findings

2021-01
The District’s control procedures over IT systems and data were not sufficient, which increases the risk that the District may not adequately protect those systems and data.
Names of contact person: Jacob Vipond
Anticipated completion date: June 2022

The District agrees with this finding. Though some issues were noted during the audit period, District Office Information Technology Services (ITS) has dramatically improved control procedures over IT systems and data in the past year, including the introduction of Single Sign-On and Multi-Factor Authentication for all employees. ITS has monitoring mechanisms in place and is monitoring to ensure all systems are adequately protected. The District has also implemented processes for the regular review of employee roles, access levels and permissions to ensure appropriateness and compatibility with job responsibilities in order to further enhance the protection of the District’s IT systems and data.