The Auditor General is appointed by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, a bipartisan committee composed of five senators and five representatives. Her mission is to provide independent and impartial information and specific recommendations to improve the operations of state and local government entities. To this end, she provides financial audits and accounting services to the State and political subdivisions, investigates possible misuse of public monies, and conducts performance audits and special reviews of school districts, state agencies, and the programs they administer.

The Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Senator Bob Worsley, Chair
Senator Sean Bowie
Senator Judy Burges
Senator Lupe Contreras
Senator John Kavanagh
Senator Steve Yarbrough (ex officio)

Representative Anthony Kern, Vice Chair
Representative John Allen
Representative Rusty Bowers
Representative Rebecca Rios
Representative Athena Salman
Representative J.D. Mesnard (ex officio)

Audit Staff

Jay Zsorey, Director
Taryn Stangle, Manager and Contact Person

Contact Information

Arizona Office of the Auditor General
2910 N. 44th St.
Ste. 410
Phoenix, AZ  85018

(602) 553-0333

www.azauditor.gov
Independent auditors’ report on internal control over financial reporting and on compliance and other matters based on an audit of basic financial statements performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards 1

Report issued separately

Comprehensive annual financial report
Members of the Arizona State Legislature

The Arizona Board of Regents

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and aggregate discretely presented component units of Arizona State University as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the University’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 26, 2017. Our report includes a reference to other auditors who audited the financial statements of Thunderbird School of Global Management (TSGM) and the aggregate discretely presented component units, as described in our report on the University’s financial statements. We conducted our audit in accordance with U.S. generally accepted auditing standards and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. However, the financial statements of the aggregate discretely presented component units, except for those of ASU Preparatory Academy Inc., were not audited in accordance with Government Auditing Standards. Accordingly, this report does not include reporting on internal control over financial reporting or instances of reportable noncompliance that those auditors report on separately.

Internal control over financial reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the University’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the University’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the University’s internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the University’s basic financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.
Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and other matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the University’s basic financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

**Purpose of this report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the University’s internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the University’s internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Debbie Davenport
Auditor General

October 26, 2017