YAVAPAI COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT SINGLE AUDIT COMPLIANCE REPORTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

YAVAPAI COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT TABLE OF CONTENTS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

ISSUED SEPARATELY COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT OMB REPORTING PACKAGE REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH **GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS** 1 INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133 3 SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS 6 NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS 7

8

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Auditor General of the State of Arizona

Governing Board of Yavapai County Community College District Prescott, Arizona

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and discretely presented component unit of Yavapai County Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2011. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Other auditors audited the financial statements of the Yavapai College Foundation, Inc. (the Foundation), the discretely presented component unit, as described in our report on the District's financial statements. The financial statements of the Foundation were not audited by other auditors in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*. This report does not include the results of the other auditors' testing of internal control over financial reporting that are reported on separately by those auditors.

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The District's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control over financial reporting.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis.

Auditor General of the State of Arizona

Governing Board of Yavapai County Community College District

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Yavapai County Community College District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the Arizona State Legislature, the Auditor General of the State of Arizona, the Governing Board, management, others within the District, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

LarsonAllen LLP

Larson Allen LLP

Mesa, Arizona December 2, 2011



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Auditor General of the State of Arizona

Governing Board of Yavapai County Community College District Prescott, Arizona

COMPLIANCE

We have audited the compliance of Yavapai County Community College District (the District) with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U. S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the District's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. The District's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditors' results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the District's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the District's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the District's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Yavapai County Community College District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2011. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed instances of noncompliance with those requirements that are required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and which are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 11-1 through 11-3.

Governing Board of Yavapai County Community College District

INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE

The management of the District is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the District's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be significant deficiencies as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as items 11-1 through 11-3. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

We have audited the financial statements of the business-type activities and the discretely presented component unit of Yavapai County Community College District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2011, and have issued our report thereon dated December 2, 2011. Our report was modified to include a reference to other auditors. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming our opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

Auditor General of the State of Arizona

Governing Board of Yavapai County Community College District

Yavapai County Community College District's responses to findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. We did not audit Yavapai County Community College District's responses and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the Arizona State Legislature, the Auditor General of the State of Arizona, the Governing Board, management, others within the District, and federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

LarsonAllen LLP

Larson Allen LLP

Mesa, Arizona December 2, 2011

YAVAPAI COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

Federal Grantor/pass-Through Grantor/Program Title U.S. Department of Agriculture	CFDA <u>Number</u>	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558		\$29,703
Total Department of Agriculture			29,703
U.S. Department of Justice			
Bulletproof Vest Partnership	16.607		2,630
Total Department of Justice			2,630
U.S. Small Business Administration Passed through the Maricopa County Community College District Small Business Development Center	59.037	0-7620-0003-08	124,715
U.S. Department of Education			
Student Financial Assistance Cluster			
Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grants	84.007		66,597
Federal Family Education Loans	84.032		4,418,336
Federal Work-Study Program	84.033		97,906
Federal Perkins Loan Program-Federal Capital Contributions	84.038		369,973
Federal Pell Grant Program	84.063		9,600,742
Academic Competitiveness Grant	84.375		104,619
Total Student Financial Assistance Cluster			14,658,173
TRIO Cluster	04.040		440.040
TRIO-Student Support Services	84.042		416,340
TRIO-Talent Search	84.044 84.047		280,389
TRIO-Upward Bound TRIO-YC CCAMPIS Project	84.335A		314,248 32,580
Total TRIO Cluster	04.333A		1,043,557
			1,010,001
Passed through the Arizona Commission for Postsecondary Education			
Leveraging Educational Assistance Partnership	84.069	None	46,630
Passed through the Arizona Department of Education	0000		.0,000
Adult Education-State Grant Program	84.002	11FAEAABE-670620-01A	247,427
Vocational Education-Basic Grants to States	84.048	None	155,308
Passed through the Arizona Governor's Office of			
Economic Recovery			
State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Cluster			
ARRA State Fiscal Stabilization Fund (SFSF) - Education			
State Grants	84.394	GOER-FY2011-1010	12,602
STEM Education Engineering Pathways Program	84.397	OER-11-CON-GS-42	342,386
Total State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Cluster			354,988
Total U.S. Department of Education			16,506,083
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed through the Arizona Department of Economic Security	00 575	FF400504	10.100
Child Care and Development Block Grant	93.575	E5402501	49,103
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$16,712,234

YAVAPAI COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The purpose of the schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the Schedule) is to present a summary of those activities of Yavapai County Community College District that have been financed by the United States Government.

The Schedule is presented on the accrual basis of accounting. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*.

A. SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

- 1. The auditors' report expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of Yavapai County Community College District.
- 2. No significant deficiencies or material weaknesses were identified during the audit of the financial statements of Yavapai County Community College District.
- 3. No instances of material noncompliance were identified during the audit of the financial statements of Yavapai County Community College District.
- 4. Three significant deficiencies were identified during the audit of the major federal award programs of Yavapai County Community College District.
- 5. The auditors' report on compliance for the major federal award programs for Yavapai County Community College District expresses an unqualified opinion.
- 6. Audit findings relative to the major federal award programs for Yavapai County Community College District are reported in Part C of this schedule.

7	The success	100100			اماد دام ما
1.	The programs	tested as	maiori	broarams	inciuae

Program	CFDA No.	
Student Financial Assistance Cluster	Various	
ARRA - State Fiscal Stabilization Fund Cluster	84.394, 84.397	

- 8. The threshold for distinguishing type A and B programs was \$300,000.
- 9. Yavapai County Community College District was not determined to be a low-risk auditee.

B. FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Current Year:

None reported

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS – MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT

Current Year Findings:

<u>11-1:</u>

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

SFA Cluster

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011

Condition:

Two of 19 students tested did not have verification procedures performed correctly. One student's verification procedures were not performed and one student's verification procedures were performed incorrectly.

Criteria:

The College is responsible for performing verification procedures correctly.

Questioned Costs:

\$32

Possible Asserted Effect:

The College is not in compliance with the Department of Education requirements.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College evaluate its procedures and policies around verification to ensure that students are being properly verified.

Management Response:

When this finding was brought to our attention by LarsonAllen in October 2010, Financial Aid immediately resolved this issue by ensuring that future subsequent ISIR transactions were appropriately selected for verification. This was done prospectively which is the reason it is a repeat finding.

With respect to verifications not being conducted correctly, extensive verification training has been conducted and the Financial Aid Advising staff is re-verifying all 2011-12 files to ensure accuracy and appropriate awarding of Title IV aid.

Terri Eckel, Director of Financial Aid will oversee the verification process. Estimated completion date is January 6, 2012.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

<u>11-2:</u>

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

SFA Cluster

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011

Condition:

Four of 40 students tested had R2T4 calculations incorrectly performed due to not properly calculating the number of calendar days in each semester.

Criteria:

Based on Department of Education guidelines, R2T4 calculations must be performed in accordance with the *Student Financial Aid Handbook*.

Questioned Costs:

\$5.64

Possible Asserted Effect:

Incorrect refunds of Federal aid and noncompliance with the Department of Education requirements.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College carefully perform the R2T4 calculations in accordance with the Student Financial Aid Handbook and verify the number of calendar days in each semester.

Management Response:

This finding is due to Registration updating calendar days in the Banner system without consulting Financial Aid. The change in the number of days was not noticed by Financial Aid because the R2T4 process is automated within Banner. It has since been arranged to give Financial Aid access to the appropriate form in Banner to update the semester breaks and Registration is now aware that changes to break days can impact financial aid return calculations.

Terry Eckel, Director of Financial Aid will review the calendar days used for financial aid calculations. This procedure was already implemented.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

<u>11-3:</u>

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

SFA Cluster

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011

Condition:

Four of 40 students' changes in status were not accurately and timely reported to NSLDS.

Criteria:

Based on Department of Education guidelines, students' enrollment status must be updated on the NSLDS website within sixty days of the change.

Questioned Costs:

None

Possible Asserted Effect:

Student Financial Aid Cluster compliance requirements are not being met.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College evaluate its procedures around updating the NSLDS with enrollment changes to ensure that updates are performed accurately and timely.

Management Response:

The students who were identified in this finding unofficially withdrew. A last date of attendance is determined for all students who have been identified as unofficial withdrawals. However, the last date of attendance that Financial Aid uses for R2T4 purposes does not always coincide with what Registration has recorded in the Banner system for enrollment reporting purposes. Registration and Financial Aid staff will collaborate on this and determine how to best report this accurately.

Terry Eckel, Director of Financial Aid will oversee the implementation of these procedures. Estimated completion date is January 6, 2012.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

Prior Year Findings:

10-1:

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

84.063 - Federal Pell Grant Program

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010

Condition:

Three of 39 students tested were eligible for additional Pell grants during the summer term but were not awarded the grant. Five of the 39 students tested had incorrect Pell awards due to either the incorrect cost of attendance or incorrect status being used in the calculation.

Criteria:

Based on Department of Education guidelines, students with low Expected Family Contributions (EFCs) are automatically eligible to receive a Pell grant based on their cost of attendance, status and EFC. The College is responsible for awarding and uploading the student's information onto the Common Origination Disbursement website for approval.

Questioned Costs:

None

Possible Asserted Effect:

Students are not receiving the proper Pell awards for which they are eligible.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College upload the students' information onto the Common Origination Disbursement website for approval and disburse the funds to the student. The College should also re-evaluate its procedures for identifying students who are eligible for Pell grants.

Status:

The issue was corrected.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

Prior Year Findings (Continued):

<u>10-2:</u>

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

SFA Cluster

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010

Condition:

Three of 40 students tested were not sent an award letter. Also, the College does not send notifications to students when Title IV loans are disbursed to the student.

Criteria:

Before making a Title IV loan disbursement, the College is required to notify the student of eligible funds, how and when the funds will be disbursed, and the student's right to cancel the funds.

Questioned Costs:

None

Possible Asserted Effect:

The College is not in compliance with the Department of Education requirements.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College implement procedures to ensure award letters are sent to all students and procedures to notify students eligible for Title IV loan funds before making a Title IV loan disbursement.

Status:

The issue was corrected.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

Prior Year Findings (Continued):

10-3:

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

SFA Cluster

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010

Condition:

Two of 40 students tested did not have verification procedures performed correctly. One student's verification procedures were not performed and one student's verification procedures were performed incorrectly.

Criteria:

The College is responsible for performing verification procedures correctly.

Questioned Costs:

None

Possible Asserted Effect:

The College is not in compliance with the Department of Education requirements.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College evaluate its procedures and policies around verification to ensure that students are being properly verified.

Status:

The issue was not corrected. See current year finding 11-1.

C. FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS - MAJOR FEDERAL AWARD PROGRAMS AUDIT (CONTINUED)

Prior Year Findings (Continued):

10-4:

CFDA Number, Title and Award Number:

SFA Cluster

Grantor:

U.S. Department of Education

Award Period:

July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010

Condition:

The College did not submit federal grant disbursement and adjustment records within required timeframes.

Criteria:

The College must submit federal grant records no later than 30 days after making a disbursement or becoming aware of the need to adjust a student's disbursement.

Questioned Costs:

None

Possible Asserted Effect:

The late reporting on disbursements occurred because the College failed to follow Department of Education regulations on timely reporting.

Recommendation:

We recommend the College implement procedures to ensure timely reporting requirements are met for future disbursements.

Status:

The issue was corrected.