St. David Unified School District

Cochise County


Efficiency peer groups 6 and T-6, Achievement peer group 6 Legislative district(s): 14

District size / location: up 6 Students attending: Number of schools: Small, Rural 425 2

OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY

Spending by operational area

Nonclassroom dollars



5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil decreased by 10 percent. Spending in the classroom decreased overall from 58.6 to 54.9 percent. Spending on food service and student support increased and spending on plant operations and instruction support decreased. Spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational		, p. 5.1. 5.1. 5	Peer	State
area	Measure	District	average	average
	Cost per pupil	\$1,017	\$1,487	\$736
Administration	Students per administrator	46	43	66
Plant	Cost per square for	oot \$5.48	\$5.04	\$6.09
operations	Square footage pe student	er 184	299	152
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent	\$3.87	\$3.19	\$2.47
Transportation	Cost per mile	\$3.16	\$3.84	\$3.50
	Cost per rider	\$438	\$416	\$982
Very low	Low Comp	arable H	igh V	ery high

Per-pupil spending by operational area

		Peer	State	National
Distr	rict	average	average	average
2011	2012	2012	2012	2010
\$8,714	\$8,736	\$9,872	\$7,475	\$10,652
5,004	4,792	4,866	4,053	6,526
3,710	3,944	5,006	3,422	4,126
954	1,017	1,487	736	1,139
866	1,009	1,438	928	1,012
358	335	454	382	405
305	328	510	362	443
1,174	1,244	636	578	592
53	11	481	436	535
	2011 \$8,714 5,004 3,710 954 866 358 305 1,174	\$8,714 \$8,736 5,004 4,792 3,710 3,944 954 1,017 866 1,009 358 335 305 328 1,174 1,244	District average 2011 2012 2012 \$8,714 \$8,736 \$9,872 5,004 4,792 4,866 3,710 3,944 5,006 954 1,017 1,487 866 1,009 1,438 358 335 454 305 328 510 1,174 1,244 636	District average average 2011 2012 2012 2012 \$8,714 \$8,736 \$9,872 \$7,475 5,004 4,792 4,866 4,053 3,710 3,944 5,006 3,422 954 1,017 1,487 736 866 1,009 1,438 928 358 335 454 382 305 328 510 362 1,174 1,244 636 578

STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



Grade	Number of schools	Percentage of schools	
Α	0	0%	
В	1		50%
С	1		50%
D	0	0%	
F	0	0%	
Not rated	0	0%	

Percentage of students who met state standards (AIMS)



Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	95%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2011)	92%	80%	78%
Poverty rate (2011)	29%	31%	25%
Students per teacher	14.2	15.2	18.1
Average teacher salary	\$46,511	\$40,242	\$45,193
Amount from Proposition 301	\$4,084	\$3,191	\$3,195
Average years of teacher experience	14.7	12.0	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	7%	16%	16%

Financial stress assessment

Measure: 2010 through	2012	Assessment	
Number of students attending		Steady	
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets		Operating and capital	
Spending increase election results		No election held	
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend		0.5%, Increasing	
Years of capital reserve held		Less than 1 year	
Current financial and internal control status		Marginally compliant	
Stress level			
Low	Moderate	High	

Office of the Auditor General

FY2012 page 191