# St. David Unified School District

Cochise County


Efficiency peer groups 6 and T-6, Achievement peer group 6 Legislative district(s): 14

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Small, Rural 401 2

#### **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

## Spending by operational area

#### Nonclassroom dollars



# 5-year spending trend

Total spending per pupil increased by 3 percent. Spending in the classroom decreased overall from 57.5 to 52.8 percent. Overall, spending on student support increased substantially and spending on food service increased, while spending on plant operations decreased slightly. Spending on other nonclassroom areas remained fairly stable.

#### Cost measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure		District	Peer average	State average
	Cost per p	upil	\$1,110	\$1,571	\$746
Administration	Students p administrat		46	43	67
Plant	Cost per so	quare foot	\$5.80	\$4.94	\$6.03
operations	Square foo student	tage per	195	318	153
Food service	Cost per meal equivalent		\$3.69	\$3.28	\$2.58
Transportation Cost per mile Cost per rider		ile	\$2.77	\$3.58	\$3.55
		der	\$445	\$462	\$1,015
Very low	Low	Comparabl	e Hig	gh V	ery high

#### Per pupil spending by operational area

			L 661	State	National
	Dist	trict	average	average	average
	2012	2013	2013	2013	2011
Total	\$8,736	\$9,180	\$10,188	\$7,496	\$10,658
Classroom dollars	4,792	4,849	4,979	4,031	6,520
Nonclassroom dollars:	3,944	4,331	5,209	3,465	4,138
Administration	1,017	1,110	1,571	746	1,138
Plant operations	1,009	1,132	1,558	924	1,015
Food service	335	313	463	396	412
Transportation	328	333	509	369	452
Student support	1,244	1,382	647	582	593
Instruction support	11	61	461	448	528

# STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT, TEACHER MEASURES, AND FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT


# ADE-reported district and school letter grades

District grade:



	Number		Diotriot grader	
Grade	of schools	Percentage of schools		
А	0	0%		
В	2			100%
С	0	0%		
D	0	0%		
F	0	0%		
Not rated	0	0%		
		-		

## Students who met state standards (AIMS)



#### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate	94%	93%	94%
Graduation rate (2012)	100%	80%	77%
Poverty rate (2012)	28%	33%	25%
Students per teacher	13.4	15.3	18.3
Average teacher salary	\$44,476	\$43,207	\$45,264
Amount from Proposition 301	\$3,710	\$3,525	\$3,784
Average years of teacher experience	12.8	11.9	10.9
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	20%	20%	19%

#### Financial stress assessment

Measure: 2011 through 2013	Assessment
Number of students attending district	Moderate decrease
Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets	Operating and capital
Spending increase election results	Voter-approved
Operating reserve percentage (max. 4%), trend	0.7%, Varying
Years of capital reserve held	Less than 1 year
Current financial and internal control status	Noncompliant

Moderate

State

National