Greenlee County, Arizona
Single Audit Reporting Package
Year Ended June 30, 2005

Greenlee County Single Audit Reporting Package Year Ended June 30, 2005

Page

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Annual Financial Report

Issued separately

Single Audit Section

Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Basic Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	5
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Summary of Auditor's Results	8
Financial Statement Findings	9
Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs	10
County Responses Corrective Action Plan	13
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	





Robert L. Miller, CPA (1931 - 1992)

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Basic Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

The Auditor General of the State of Arizona

The Board of Supervisors of Greenlee County, Arizona

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and aggregate remaining fund information of Greenlee County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 29, 2009, which was modified as to consistency because of the implementation of GASB Statement No. 40. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the basic financial statements and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting. However, we noted a certain matter involving internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the County's ability to record, process, summarize, and report financial data consistent with the assertions of management in the basic financial statements. Reportable conditions are described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item **05-1**.

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the basic financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the reportable condition described above is not a material weakness.

Compliance and Other Matters

Milher, Almot Co., P.C.

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's basic financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the Arizona State Legislature, the Auditor General of the State of Arizona, the Board of Supervisors, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

October 29, 2009





Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance with Requirements Applicable to Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133

The Auditor General of the State of Arizona

The Board of Supervisors of Greenlee County, Arizona

Compliance

We have audited the compliance of Greenlee County with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005. The County's major federal programs are identified in the Summary of Auditor's Results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the County's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the County's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the County's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, Greenlee County complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2005. However, the results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance with those requirements that is required to be reported in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, and is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item **05-3**.

Internal Control over Compliance

The County's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the County's internal

control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133.

We noted a certain matter involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be a reportable condition. Reportable conditions involve matters coming to our attention relating to significant deficiencies in the design or operation of the internal control over compliance that, in our judgment, could adversely affect the County's ability to administer a major federal program in accordance with the applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. The reportable condition is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item **05-2.**

A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be reportable conditions and, accordingly, would not necessarily disclose all reportable conditions that are also considered to be material weaknesses. However, we believe that the reportable condition described above is not a material weakness.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and aggregate remaining fund information of Greenlee County as of and for the year ended June 30, 2005, and have issued our report dated June 26, 2009, which was modified as to consistency because of the implementation of GASB Statement No. 40. Our audit was made for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise Greenlee County's basic financial statements. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and, in our opinion, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the members of the Arizona State Legislature, the Auditor General of the State of Arizona, the Board of Supervisors, federal awarding agencies, and pass-through entities. It is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

October 29, 2009

Greenlee County Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2005

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Direct Program Cooperative Forestry Assistance Passed through the Arizona Department of Health Services	10.664	None	\$ 18,621
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	76-1093	27,292
Passed through the Arizona State Treasurer Schools and Roads – Grants to States Total U.S. Department of Agriculture	10.665	None	640,636 686,549
U.S. Department of the Interior			
Direct Program Payments in Lieu of Taxes Bureau of Land Management Taylor Grazing Act	15.226 15.Unknown 15.Unknown	None None None	367,750 43,193 3,094 414,037
Total U.S. Department of the Interior			414,037
<u>U.S. Department of Justice</u> Passed through the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.579	AC-040-01	30,242
Direct Program State Criminal Alien Assistance Program Total U.S. Department of Justice	16.606	None	581 30,823
U.S. Department of Labor Passed through the Arizona Department of Economic Security WIA Cluster:			
WIA Adult Program WIA Youth Activities WIA Dislocated Workers Total WIA Cluster	17.258 17.259 17.260	E5701009 E5701009 E5702006	6,981 120,379 27,449 154,809
Total U.S. Department of Labor			154,809
U.S. Department of Transportation Direct Program Airport Improvement Program Total U.S. Department of Transportation	20.106	None	897,104 897,104
Federal Emergency Management Agency Passed through the United Way of Arizona Emergency Management – State and Local Assistance Total Federal Emergency Management Agency	83.534	None	2,822 2,822
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Passed through the Arizona Department of Health Services Centers for Disease Control-Investigations and Technical Assistance	93.283	None	251,615
Cooperative Agreements for State-Based Comprehensive Breast and Cervical Cancer Early Detection Programs Preventive Health Services Block Grant	93.919 93.991	23-3013 30-4062	50,930 12,054

See accompanying notes to schedule. 5

Greenlee County Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2005

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number	Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Expenditures
Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.994	76-1106	7,518
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			322,117
U.S. Department of Homeland Security			
Passed through the Arizona Department of Emergency and Military Affairs			
Citizen Corps	97.053	None	6,959
2002 Supplemental	97.054	None	35,805
Homeland Security Grant Program	97.067	None	578,921
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			621,685
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 3,129,946

Greenlee County Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2005

Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards includes the federal grant activity of Greenlee County and is presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.

Note 2 - Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance (CFDA) Numbers

The program titles and CFDA numbers were obtained from the federal or pass-through grantor or the 2005 *Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance*. When no CFDA number had been assigned to the program and when there was no federal contract number, the two-digit federal agency identifier, a period, and the work "unknown" were used.

Note 3 - Subrecipients

The County did not provide any federal awards to subrecipients during the year ended June 30, 2005.

Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Type of auditor's report issued:		Unqualified	
		<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
Material weak	ness identified in internal control over financial reporting?		<u>X</u>
Reportable co	ndition identified not considered to be a material weakness?	<u>X</u>	
Noncompliand	e material to the financial statements noted?		<u>X</u>
Federal Awar	rds		
Material weak	ness identified in internal control over major programs?		<u>X</u>
Reportable co	ndition identified not considered to be a material weakness?	X	
Type of audito	r's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unqu	alified
	ngs disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with (section .510[a])?	_X_	
Identification of	of major programs:		
CFDA <u>Number</u> 10.665 20.106 97.067	Name of Federal Program or Cluster Schools and Roads – Grants to States Airport Improvement Program Homeland Security Grant Program		
Dollar thresho	ld used to distinguish between Type A and Type B programs:	\$300	,000
Auditee qualifi	ed as low-risk auditee?		_X_
Other Matters	5		
	mary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings required to be reported in ith Circular A-133 (section .315[b])?	<u>_X</u>	

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Item: 05-1

Subject: Segregation of Duties

Criteria: The handling and recording of any one transaction from beginning to end by any one individual should be avoided.

Condition: The following segregation of duties deficiencies was noted:

- 1. <u>Finance department</u> an individual who is responsible for processing payroll transactions also can create and/or modify employee computer payroll records;
- 2. <u>Finance department</u> an individual with voucher preparation responsibilities also receives the signed warrants for vendor distribution;
- 3. <u>Treasurer's office</u> individuals with responsibility for maintaining accounting records also are required to log cash receipts received through the mail.

Cause: Existing policies and procedures have not been implemented which segregate the following functions:

- 1. Authorization:
- 2. Recordkeeping;
- 3. Custody of assets.

Effect: The lack of segregation of incompatible duties increases the possibility that errors and improper activities would not be detected.

Recommendation: Current policies and procedures should be implemented which remove the handling and recording of any one transaction from beginning to end from the control of any one employee. Further, making different employees responsible for different functions of a transaction actually serves as a cross-check which facilitates the detection of errors, accidental or deliberate.

Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Item: 05-2

CFDA Number: 10.665, 20.106, 97.067

Program: Schools and Roads – Grants to States, Airport Improvement Program, Homeland Security Grant Program.

Agency: U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Transportation, U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Award Year. Various

Award Number. Various

Questioned Costs: N/A

Condition: The handling and recording of any one transaction from beginning to end by any one individual is not being prohibited. See Section II – Financial Statement Findings, item 05-1.

Recommendation: Current policies and procedures should be implemented which remove the handling and recording of any one transaction from beginning to end from the control of any one employee. Further, making different employees responsible for different functions of a transaction actually serves as a cross-check which facilitates the detection of errors, accidental or deliberate.

Item: 05-3

CFDA Number: 10.665, 20.106, 97.067

Program: Schools and Roads – Grants to States, Airport Improvement Program, Homeland Security Grant Program.

Agency: U.S. Department of Agriculture, U.S. Department of Transportation, U.S. Department of Homeland Security

Award Year. Various

Award Number. Various

Questioned Costs: N/A

Criteria: OMB Circular A-133, section 320 requires that an audit be completed and the data collection form and single audit reporting package be submitted to the Federal clearinghouse no later than nine months after the end of the audit period.

Condition: The County's audit was not completed within the required time period.

Cause: The County does not have controls in place to ensure that audits are completed on a timely basis.

Effect: The County could lose federal funding.

Recommendation: The County should follow their policies and procedures to ensure audits are completed timely.

County Responses

Greenlee County Corrective Action Plan Year Ended June 30, 2005

Financial Statement Findings

Item: 05-1

Subject: Segregation of Duties

Contact Person: Kay Gale, Administrator/Clerk of the Board

Anticipated Completed Date: Immediately

Corrective Action: The current policies for separation of duties were developed with the advice of previous audit firms but have not been updated. Policies and procedures will be reviewed and modified to address the issue. The current policy in place will be modified as recommended.

Greenlee County Corrective Action Plan Year Ended June 30, 2005

Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

Item: 05-2

CFDA Number: 10.665, 20.106, 97.067

Program: Schools and Roads – Grants to States, Airport Improvement Program, Homeland Security Grant Program.

Contact Person: Kay Gale, Administrator/Clerk of the Board

Anticipated Completed Date: Immediately

Corrective Action: The current policies for separation of duties were developed with the advice of previous audit firms but have not been updated. Policies and procedures will be reviewed and modified to address the issue. The current policy in place will be modified as recommended.

Item: 05-3

CFDA Number: 10.665, 20.106, 97.067

Program: Schools and Roads – Grants to States, Airport Improvement Program, Homeland Security Grant Program.

Subject: The County's audit was not completed within the required time period.

Contact Person: Kay Gale, County Administrator

Anticipated Completion Date: March 31, 2010

Corrective Action: The County has implemented an internal policy to ensure timely preparation of the financial statements. In doing so, the County has contracted with a firm to prepare our financial statements in compliance with generally accepted accounting principles. Completion of the single audit within the required time period is a priority. The County is working with the Auditor General's Office to ensure audits are completed within the required time periods.

Greenlee County Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Year Ended June 30, 2005

Status of Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

CFDA No.: 93.283

Finding No.: 04-2

Status: Not corrected.

Corrective Action: The current policies for separation of duties were developed with the advice of previous audit firms but have not been updated. Policies and procedures will be reviewed and modified to address the issue. The current policy in place will be modified as recommended.