# **Casa Grande Union High School District**


# Pinal County

Efficiency peer groups 3 and T-5, Achievement peer group 8 Legislative district(s): 4, 8, and 11

District size, location: Students attending: Number of schools: Medium-large, Suburb 3,757 3

# **OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY**

# Spending by operational area



# Efficiency measures relative to peer averages

Operational area	Measure	District	Peer average	State average		
	Cost per pupil	\$746	\$846	\$806		
Administration	Students per administrative position	73	69	67		
Plant	Cost per square foot	\$6.94	\$5.78	\$6.10		
operations	Square footage per student	161	163	154		
Food service	Cost per meal	\$2.89	\$2.98	\$2.81		
<b>T</b>	Cost per mile	\$3.17	\$3.76	\$3.72		
Transportation	Cost per rider	\$1,133	\$1,462	\$1,092		
Very low	Low Compa	rable	High	Very high		

# Per pupil spending

					Peer	State			
	D	istri	ct	a١	/erage	average			
Spending by area	2015		2016	2016			2016		
Instruction	\$ 3,934	\$	3,372	\$	3,774	\$	4,145		
Administration	774		746		846		806		
Plant operations	1,136		1,115		923		939		
Food service	348		326		314		415		
Transportation	835		733		382		364		
Student support	652		556		605		633		
Instruction support	271		245		296		444		
Total operational	\$ 7,950	\$	7,093	\$	7,140	\$	7,746		
Land and buildings	\$ 298	\$	211	\$	704	\$	621		
Equipment	352		623		259		400		
Interest	261		295		191		216		
Other	0		31		164		153		
Total nonoperational	\$ 911	\$	1,160	\$	1,318	\$	1,390		
Total per pupil spending	\$ 8,861	\$	8,253	\$	8,458	\$	9,136		


### Student and teacher measures

		Peer	State
Measure	District	average	average
Attendance rate (2015)	94%	94%	94%
Graduation rate (2015)	74%	86%	78%
Poverty rate (2015)	19%	17%	23%
Students per teacher	24.9	22.0	18.6
Average teacher salary	\$48,974	\$48,898	\$46,384
Amount from Proposition 301	\$9,891	\$7,561	\$5,315
Average years of teacher experience	11.5	10.4	11.0
Percentage of teachers in first 3 years	18%	19%	20%

STUDENT AND TEACHER MEASURES.

FINANCIAL ASSESSMENT, AND REVENUES

# Students who passed state assessments



# Financial stress assessment

#### Overall financial stress level: Low Measure: 2014 through 2016 Assessment Steady Change in number of district students No overspending Spending exceeded operating/capital budgets Voter-approved Spending increase election results Operating reserve percentage, Trend 7.2%, Varying More than 3 years Years of capital reserve held Current financial and internal control status Marginally complian Low Moderate High

Door

State

# Per pupil revenues

						Peer	State		
	District					/erage	average		
Revenues by source		2015		2016	1	2016		2016	
Federal	\$	1,319	\$	1,362	\$	823	\$	1,301	
State		3,695		3,890		3,055		3,780	
Local		4,542		4,573		4,806		4,268	
Total revenues per pupil	\$	9,556	\$	9,825	\$	8,684	\$	9,349	
Select revenues from commo	on :	sources	;						
Equalization formula funding Grants Donations and tax credits	\$	6,055 1,336 10	\$	6,083 1,413 9	\$	5,498 \$ 891 135	\$	5,471 1,182 86	
		10		0	Ν	lumber	of		
Select revenues from less co	ommon sources					receiving			
Desegregation	\$	\$ 0 \$ 0				1 of 15			
Small school adjustment		0		0		0 0	f 15	5	
Federal impact aid		38		24		3 0	f 15	5	
Voter-approved levy increases		1,317		1,337		14 0	f 15	j	

# Casa Grande UHSD—page 2

# **Classroom dollar percentage**

Year:	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016
Percentage:	54.4	56.2	55.8	55.1	53.3	54.0	53.5	56.4	53.3	48.1	45.2	47.2	48.9	46.0	49.5	47.5


#### OPERATIONAL TRENDS Fiscal years as indicated

#### 5-year spending trend (2011 through 2016)


#### Administrative cost per pupil

Total operational spending per pupil, adjusted for inflation, decreased by 11 percent. The percentage of dollars spent in the classroom varied year to year, increasing overall from 45.2 to 47.5 percent. Overall, as a percentage of total operational spending, student support decreased and administration, food service, and transportation decreased slightly, while instruction support increased slightly.

# Total operational and instructional spending per pupil (inflation adjusted to 2016 dollars)





# Changes in operational spending percentages




01 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16

### **Students attending**







# Plant cost per square foot and square footage per student



### Food service cost per meal



# Transportation costs per mile and per rider



Arizona School District Spending—Fiscal Year 2016 | March 2017 | Report 17-204