

DEBRA K. DAVENPORT, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL

#### STATE OF ARIZONA OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

WILLIAM THOMSON DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

April 1, 2008

The Honorable John Nelson, Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

The Honorable Robert Blendu, Vice Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Dear Representative Nelson and Senator Blendu:

Our Office has recently completed a 30-month followup of the Department of Administration— Information Services Division and Telecommunications Program Office regarding the implementation status of the 17 audit recommendations (including sub-parts of the recommendations) presented in the performance audit report released in September 2005 (Auditor General Report No. 05-11). As the attached grid indicates:

- 12 have been implemented;
- 2 have been partially implemented
- 2 are in the process of being implemented; and
- 1 recommendation is no longer applicable.

Unless otherwise directed by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, this concludes our followup work on the Department's efforts to implement the recommendations from the September 2005 performance audit report.

Sincerely,

Melanie M. Chesney Performance Audit Director

MC:Sjb Attachment

cc: Bill Bell, Director Arizona Department of Administration

### FINDING 1: Several actions needed to improve information security

| Recommendation   | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation |
|--|--|------------------------|
| <ol> <li>The Department should designate a central authority, such as its state-wide security manager, with the responsibility for developing a comprehensive security program for the Department's internal information resources and network, as well as the data center. The Department should then ensure that the program addresses:</li> </ol> | Implemented at 30 Months                 |                        |
| a. Developing a policy governing network<br>scanning, monitoring, and testing, including<br>how it should be done, the frequency, and fol-<br>low-up procedures to correct identified vul-<br>nerabilities;  | Implemented at 30 Months                 |                        |
| b. Ensuring that it obtains an independent secu-<br>rity assessment at least every 3 years and de-<br>veloping policies regarding the circumstances<br>under which it would obtain an independent<br>assessment more frequently.   | Implemented at 30 Months                 |                        |

### FINDING 1: Several actions needed to improve information security (cont'd)

|    | Recommendation   | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation  |
|----|--|--|---|
| C. | Conducting risk assessments at least every 3 years and as needed when systems, facilities, or other conditions change; | Implemented at 30 Months                 |   |
| d. | Developing a system to follow up on identi-<br>fied risks and weaknesses to ensure that they<br>are addressed;         | Implemented at 30 Months                 |   |
| e. | Developing adequate security policies and<br>procedures and ensuring that they include<br>sufficient detail; and       | Implementation in Process                | The Department has established some se-<br>curity policies and standards and is in the<br>process of drafting additional policies and<br>standards. |
| f. | Providing annual security awareness training<br>as provided for in both GITA and department<br>policy.                 | Implemented at 30 Months                 |   |

### FINDING 1: Several actions needed to improve information security (cont'd)

|    | Recommendation  | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation   |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2. | The Department should determine if it needs ad-<br>ditional staff, funding, and technical resources to<br>perform additional security duties, and if so, as-<br>sess whether it could reassign existing staff and<br>resources or take other steps, as appropriate, to<br>seek additional staff and resources.  | Implemented at 30 Months                 |  |
| 3. | The Department should request that the Legisla-<br>ture amend A.R.S. §41-712 to give the Depart-<br>ment statutory authority to enforce security re-<br>quirements for state agencies using AZNET. If<br>the Department receives such authority, it should<br>ensure that it becomes part of its comprehensive<br>security program in conjunction with the first<br>recommendation. | No Longer Applicable                     | Since this audit report was issued, the Leg-<br>islature added A.R.S. §41-3507 which estab-<br>lished the Government Information Tech-<br>nology Agency's Statewide Information<br>Security and Privacy Office and directs this<br>Office to develop, implement, maintain,<br>and ensure that state agencies comply with<br>a coordinated state-wide assurance plan for<br>information security and privacy. |

### FINDING 1: Several actions needed to improve information security (cont'd)

| Recommendation   | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation   |
|--|--|--|
| <ol> <li>The Department should enhance its interager<br/>service agreements with state agencies that us<br/>the data center to define the Department's an<br/>the agencies' security responsibilities. The agr<br/>ments should:</li> </ol>                  | ie<br>1                                  |  |
| a. Delineate the Department's responsibility<br>provide access to the state data center and<br>state agency's responsibility to meet speci<br>minimum security requirements; and   | the Implemented at 30 Months             |  |
| b. Define the circumstances under which a sagency may face actions for failure to comwith those security requirements, and the tions the Department can take to better er that corrupted systems in one agency do compromise other agencies' systems and ta. | ply<br>ac-<br>sure<br>not                | Although the Department's interagency<br>service agreements require state agencies<br>to follow all network security guidelines as<br>established by the Department and stipu-<br>late that the Department can terminate<br>compromised agency servers, the service<br>agreements do not detail what security cri-<br>teria will be used, how compliance will be<br>evaluated, and do not provide for the esca-<br>lation and enforcement of security prob-<br>lems. |

### FINDING 1: Several actions needed to improve information security (concl'd)

|    | Recommendation   | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation  |
|----|--|--|---|
| 5. | The Information Services Division should better<br>ensure that it does not publish sensitive informa-<br>tion on its Web site by developing a policy requir-<br>ing central review and approval of Web site con-<br>tent. The Division should also review current<br>Web content to ensure that sensitive information<br>has not remained on its Web site, and instead<br>maintain any sensitive information in a more se-<br>cure environment, such as the Department's in-<br>ternal network, which is not available to the pub-<br>lic. | Implemented at 30 Months                 |   |
| 6. | The Department should configure its information<br>system resources, such as routers, switches, and<br>servers, to comply with GITA standards to pro-<br>vide greater safety from external threats.  | Implementation in Process                | The Department has taken steps to comply<br>with GITA standards and reported that it<br>plans to fully implement this recommen-<br>dation by December 31, 2008. |

#### FINDING 2: Improved oversight of telecommunications consolidation and privatized network needed

| Recommendation  | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation |
|---|--|------------------------|
| <ol> <li>The Department should improve oversight of the<br/>inventory process by:</li> </ol>  | Implemented at 30 Months                 |                        |
| a. Reviewing the TPO's current staffing assign-<br>ments and reassigning staff to this function or,<br>if necessary,  |  |                        |
| b. Reallocating existing resources or taking other<br>steps, as appropriate, to hire a private contrac-<br>tor to adequately oversee the inventory<br>process.  |  |                        |
| 2. The Department should ensure that the contrac-<br>tor develops an adequate network security plan<br>that includes the following:   |  |                        |
| a. Requirements stipulated by the contract, in-<br>cluding security service level agreements,<br>compliance with GITA's state-wide security<br>standards, and periodic security awareness<br>and training for agency personnel; and | Implemented at 30 Months                 |                        |

# FINDING 2: Improved oversight of telecommunications consolidation and privatized network needed (concl'd)

| Recommendation   | Status of Implementing<br>Recommendation | Additional Explanation  |
|--|--|---|
| b. Other relevant aspects of an appropriate in-<br>formation technology security plan, such as<br>defining clear security monitoring and en-<br>forcement processes, and how potential secu-<br>rity breaches or other incidents will be identi-<br>fied, reported, and monitored. | Implemented at 30 Months                 |   |
| 3. The Department should develop a process for<br>monitoring the contractors and work with them<br>to annually update the security plan to reflect any<br>changes in state-wide network and security stan-<br>dards.   | Partially Implemented                    | Although the Department has imple-<br>mented some processes for monitoring<br>contractors, such as regularly holding<br>meetings with contractors to discuss moni-<br>toring issues, it has not documented<br>processes for monitoring contractors and<br>annually updating the network security<br>plan to reflect any changes in the network<br>and security standards. |