

DEBRA K. DAVENPORT, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF ARIZONA OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

WILLIAM THOMSON DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

May 3, 2004

The Honorable John Huppenthal, Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

The Honorable Robert Blendu, Vice Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Dear Representative Huppenthal and Senator Blendu:

Our Office has recently completed a 30-month follow-up of the Arizona Game and Fish Commission—Heritage Fund regarding the implementation status of the 16 audit recommendations (including sub-parts of the recommendations) presented in the performance audit report released in May 2001 (Auditor General Report No. 01-09). As the attached grid indicates:

- 10 of the 16 recommendations have been implemented;
- 5 of the 16 recommendations are in the process of being implemented; and
- 1 recommendation has not been implemented.

Unless otherwise directed by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, this report concludes our follow-up work on the Department's efforts to implement the recommendations resulting from the May 2001 performance audit report.

Sincerely,

Debbie Davenport Auditor General

DD:jw Attachment

cc: Mr. Duane Shroufe, Director Arizona Game and Fish Department

FINDING I: Department Needs To Improve Accountability of Heritage Fund Expenditures

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
 The Commission should develop and adopt administra- tive rules, or other formal criteria such as substantive policy statements, to interpret Heritage Fund statutes and describe how the Department will expend Heritage Fund monies. The Heritage Fund Public Advisory Committee could be involved in developing formal ex- penditure criteria. 	Implemented at 18 months	
2. In developing administrative rules or other formal expenditure criteria for the IIPAM program, the Commission should develop criteria that a species must meet to justify expending Heritage Fund monies and a corresponding list of qualifying species. If the Commission determines that the state list of wildlife of special concern is the species list governing expenditures, it should ensure that this list is regularly updated and formally approved. Otherwise, the federal lists of endangered, threatened, and candidate species should be adopted.	Implemented at 30 months	

FINDING I: Department Needs To Improve Accountability of Heritage Fund Expenditures (Cont'd)

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
3. Once the Commission establishes administrative rules or other formal criteria for all Heritage Fund programs, the Department should assess the activities and respon- sibilities of Heritage-funded projects and staff positions to determine whether these meet the requirements of the formal criteria and make necessary adjustments to correspond with the assessments.	Implementation in Process	

FINDING I: Department Needs To Improve Accountability of Heritage Fund Expenditures (Concl'd)

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
4.	The Department should modify the Commission's an- nual Heritage Fund report to the Legislature to include the following information:		The annual Heritage Fund Report for Fiscal Year 2002 states that total expenditures did not exceed statutory limits; however, the re- port information is incomplete. Spending
	 Confirmation that the total expenditures for each Heritage Fund program meet the statutory spend- ing limits; 	Not Implemented	limits are defined in statute as a percentage of revenue, and revenue figures are not in- cluded in the annual Heritage Fund Report.
	Tables of project-level data in report appendices that are aggregated under major categories of activity and include a descriptive title of the project and its actual cost;	Implementation in Process	
	Descriptions and costs of highlighted projects, such as those that expend 50 percent or more of a pro- gram's total allocation, those funded at \$50,000 or more, or the top ten most costly projects;	Implementation in Process	
	New project information, including what each new project is designed to achieve and an estimated time frame for project completion; and	Implemented at 30 months	
	 Outcomes of completed projects, particularly in terms of progress toward objectives and total cost. 	Implemented at 30 months	

FINDING II: Additional Guidance Is Needed To Govern Acquisitions

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
The Commission should adopt administrative rules that more clearly define the criteria a prop- erty must meet to be considered for purchase with Heritage Fund monies. The rules should clarify how the Department interprets statutory terms such as "specific areas," "geographical areas," "his- torically occupied," and "currently occupied." The rules should also include an official list of endan- gered, threatened, and candidate species that is updated periodically, or else the Commission should adopt the federal list.	Implemented at 30 months	
The Department should ensure that future Heri- tage Fund property acquisitions meet criteria es- tablished through its rules.	Implementation in Process	

FINDING II: Additional Guidance Is Needed To Govern Acquisitions (Concl'd)

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
] i t	The Department should prepare and implement a long-term plan to enable it to better evaluate whether potential Heritage Fund acquisitions meet its conservation goals. Such a plan should identify the species and habitats in the State most needing protection and should be used to guide purchases and other protection efforts such as conservation easements, stewardship agreements, and leases.	Implemented at 30 months	
	The Department needs to revise its process for Heritage Fund land acquisitions to include steps for ensuring that all ownership issues are ad- dressed, including such things as survey discrep- ancies and water rights issues, before final payments are made.	Implemented at 30 months	

FINDING III: Heritage Fund Accounting Is Inadequate

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1.	The Department should determine the total amount of Heritage Fund carryforward monies available by reconciling discrepancies between its internal records and the state-wide accounting sys- tem.	Implemented at 6 months	
2.	The Department should make a reasonable deter- mination of how these carryforward monies should be allocated among the five Heritage Fund programs. In making this determination, the De- partment should take into consideration the feasi- bility and costs of obtaining accounting data from past years.	Implemented at 6 months	
3.	The Department should develop a comprehensive system to account for each Heritage Fund pro- gram's financial information. Any such system should include processes for reconciling labor and related costs to cash expenditures for each Heritage Fund program.	Implementation in Process	
4.	The Department should eliminate practices that may adversely impact Heritage Fund interest revenues, such as lending Heritage Fund monies to other programs.	Implemented at 6 months	