

DEBRA K. DAVENPORT, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL

Attachment

STATE OF ARIZONA OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL

WILLIAM THOMSON DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

August 14, 2002

The Honorable Roberta L. Voss, Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

The Honorable Ken Bennett, Vice Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Dear Representative Voss and Senator Bennett:

Our Office has recently completed an 18-month followup of the Arizona Department of Economic Security—Division of Child Support Enforcement regarding the implementation status of the 14 audit recommendations (including sub-parts of the recommendations) presented in the performance audit report released in January 2001 (Auditor General Report No. 01-01). As the attached grid indicates:

■ 14 of the 14 recommendations have been implemented.

Since all of the audit recommendations have been implemented and unless otherwise directed by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, this report concludes our follow-up work on the Arizona Department of Economic Security—Division of Child Support Enforcement.

Sincerely,

Debbie Davenport Auditor General

cc:	Mr. John Clayton, Director Department of Economic Security	JLAC Members
	Senate Family Services Members	House Human Services Members
	Ms. Barbara Guenther Senate Committee Analyst	Ms. Marianne Hardy House Committee Analyst
	Ms. Nadine Sapien Senate Research Analyst	Ms. Tami Stowe House Research Analyst

FINDING I: Program Effectiveness Improving, but Additional Enhancements Needed

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
This finding has no recommendations.		

FINDING II: Program Needs To Improve Its Paternity Establishment Performance

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1.	The program, in conjunction with its stake- holders, should explore expanding its adminis- trative authority to establish paternity.	Implemented at 12 months	
2.	The program should close those paternity cases meeting federal closure criteria to allow pater- nity caseworkers to focus their efforts on re- maining cases.	Implemented at 18 months	
3.	The program should develop a procedure re- quiring supervisors to routinely review case- worker caseloads and redistribute cases as needed to ensure caseloads are manageable.	Implemented at 12 months	
4.	The program should consider temporarily ex- panding its use of contract paternity casework- ers statewide to increase the number of paterni- ties established.	Implemented at 6 months	
5.	The program should explore the possibility of establishing a specialized unit of paternity caseworkers to resolve difficult paternity cases.	Implemented at 6 months	

FINDING II: Program Needs To Improve Its Paternity Establishment Performance (Concl'd)

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
6. The program should seek to expand its use of alternative sites for executing voluntary pater- nity acknowledgements.	Implemented at 6 months	
7. The program should continue to expand its use of automation by exploring the feasibility of:		
Conducting periodic matches of its pater- nity caseload with neighboring states' birth records to uncover paternity acknowl- edgements filed in other states; and	Implemented at 6 months	
Providing caseworkers with direct, auto- mated access to Arizona's birth records so they can conduct their own birth record searches.	Implemented at 12 months	

FINDING III: Program Needs To Continue To Improve Its Performance in Establishing Child Support Orders

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1.	The program, in conjunction with its stake- holders, should explore seeking administrative authority to establish child support orders for cases where both the custodial and noncusto- dial parent agree with the program's calculated dollar amount.	Implemented at 18 months	
2.	The program should ensure that it provides full due process rights as a part of its administra- tive process.	Implemented at 18 months	
3.	The program should continue to close cases according to federal case closure criteria.	Implemented at 18 months	
4.	The program should ensure that caseworkers are monitoring their closure worklist items and closing cases.	Implemented at 12 months	

FINDING IV: Collection Enforcement Actions Can Be Targeted To Increase Effectiveness

	Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1.	The program should explore the feasibility of developing a framework for sorting enforce- ment cases. This framework should be used to help the program target its enforcement actions and make referrals to supportive services.	Implemented at 12 months	
2.	The program should also establish a work group to determine if the State could benefit from establishing forgiveness policies.	Implemented at 18 months	

FINDING V: Program Has Successfully Established Statewide Automated System

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
This finding has no recommendations.	Not applicable	