

DEBRA K. DAVENPORT, CPA AUDITOR GENERAL STATE OF ARIZONA OFFICE OF THE AUDITOR GENERAL June 28, 2002

WILLIAM THOMSON DEPUTY AUDITOR GENERAL

The Honorable Roberta L. Voss, Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

The Honorable Ken Bennett, Vice Chair Joint Legislative Audit Committee

Dear Representative Voss and Senator Bennett:

Our Office has recently completed a 24-month followup of the Arizona Department of Agriculture—Food Safety and Quality Assurance Program and Non-Food Product Quality Assurance Program, regarding the implementation status of the 14 audit recommendations (including sub-parts of the recommendations) presented in the performance audit report released in June 2000 (Auditor General Report No. 00-10). As the attached grid indicates:

- 7 of the 14 recommendations have been implemented;
- 6 of the 14 recommendations are in the process of being implemented; and
- 1 recommendation has not been implemented.

Attachment

Unless otherwise directed by the Joint Legislative Audit Committee, this report concludes our follow-up work on the Department's efforts to implement the recommendations resulting from the June 2000 performance audit.

Sincerely,

Debbie Davenport Auditor General

cc:	Mr. Sheldon R. Jones, Director Arizona Department of Agriculture	JLAC Members
	Senate Natural Resources, Agriculture, and Environment Members	House Natural Resources and Agriculture Members
	Ms. Kerri Morey Senate Committee Analyst	Ms. Kathi Knox House Committee Analyst
	Ms. Nadine Sapien Senate Research Analyst	Ms. Tami Stowe House Research Analyst

### FINDING I: Department Could Take Steps To Address Industry Concerns Regarding the CFV Standardization Program

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1. The Department should improve the manage- ment of inspections by:		
a. Expanding its current planning efforts by implementing a more systematic inspection sampling plan;	Implementation in Process	
b. Scheduling and/or centralizing more of its inspections;	Implementation in Process	

# FINDING I: Department Could Take Steps To Address Industry Concerns Regarding the CFV Standardization Program (Cont'd)

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
c. Transferring responsibility for collecting shipping certificates from CFV inspectors to produce shippers by requiring shippers to fax or e-mail the certificates to the Depart- ment; and	Not Implemented	The Department still requires inspectors to pick up shipping certificates and reports that picking up the certificates ensures they will be able to provide the information con- tained in them to the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) as required by its con-
d. Using violation data to implement risk- based inspections.	Implementation in Process	tract with the USDA. The contract specifies that the Department will collect and com- pile truck shipment information for Ari- zona's Western District year-round for dis- semination daily and annually. Compli- ance with the terms of the contract may be jeopardized if the Department does not col- lect and compile this information on a daily basis. However, the contract does not spec- ify how the Department should collect the information. Also, the Department reports that it has not tried a lternate means, such as fax or e-mail, to collect this information. Finally, the Department reports that the USDA will telephone shippers directly to obtain this information from other areas of the State.

# FINDING I: Department Could Take Steps To Address Industry Concerns Regarding the CFV Standardization Program (Cont'd)

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
2. The Department should improve its manage- ment and analysis of program data, and use this data to better manage inspection activities and provide customer service by:		
a. Documenting and analyzing all verbal and written violations;	Implemented at 6 months	
<ul> <li>b. Documenting licensees that receive inspections and using this information to ensure that all licensees receive an appropriate level of inspection service; and</li> </ul>	Implementation in Process	
c. Merging the data from its three databases so that it can analyze this data in a compre- hensive and systematic manner.	Implementation in Process	

## FINDING I: Department Could Take Steps To Address Industry Concerns Regarding the CFV Standardization Program (Concl'd)

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
3. The Department and the CFV Advisory Coun- cil should improve communication with pro- gram participants, including providing basic program information and proposed changes to the program, as well as information on opting out of the program, by:		
a. Using its current Department newsletter to provide program information to all CFV program licensees who pay assessment fees; and	Implemented at 6 months	
b. Ensuring that Council meeting agendas and decisions are distributed to all CFV licensees who pay assessments.	Implemented at 6 months	

### FINDING II: Non-Food Product Quality Assurance Program Needs Improved Sampling Approach

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1. The Department should develop and imple- ment long-term formal sampling plans for feed, fertilizer, pesticide, and seed products that would allow it to better protect the public from poor-quality non-food products and make effi- cient and effective use of resources. The De- partment should also incorporate a sampling frame and risk-based component into these sampling plans.	Implementation in Process	
2. The Department should reconvene its sampling plan committee to guide the development and monitoring of the non-food program sampling plans.	Implemented at 6 months	

### FINDING III: The Nogales Office Needs To Strengthen Its Cash-Processing Controls

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
1. The Department should establish and maintain the following processes and procedures to bet- ter safeguard state monies for the Nogales Of- fice of its Federal/State Inspection Service, in- cluding processes and procedures for:	Implemented at 6 months	
<ul> <li>Adequately segregating cash-handling re- sponsibilities;</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Immediately endorsing all checks upon receipt; and</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Regularly reconciling monies received to deposits.</li> </ul>		
2. The Department should develop policies and procedures for handling cash and cash-like receipts, including defining employee responsibilities for each step in the cash-handling process.	Implemented at 6 months	

### FINDING III: The Nogales Office Needs To Strengthen Its Cash-Processing Controls (Concl'd)

Recommendation	Status of Implementing Recommendation	Explanation for Recommendations That Have Not Been Implemented
3. The Department should periodically request a procedural review from the State's General Accounting Office to ensure it adheres to established policies and procedures for the Nogales Office.	Implemented at 18 months	